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A B S T R A C T

In this article we review the existing fertility preservation options for women diagnosed with Turner syndrome and provide practical guidelines for the practitioner. Turner syndrome is the most common sex chromosome abnormality in women, occurring in approximately 1 in 2500 live births. Women with Turner syndrome are at extremely high risk for primary ovarian insufficiency and infertility. Although approximately 70%-80% have no spontaneous pubertal development and 90% experience primary amenorrhea, the remainder might possess a small residual of ovarian follicles at birth or early childhood. The present challenge is to identify these women as early in life as is possible, to allow them to benefit from a variety of existing fertility preservation options. To maximize the benefits of fertility preservation, all women with Turner syndrome should be evaluated by an expert as soon as possible in childhood because the vast majority will have their ovarian reserve depleted before adulthood. Cryopreservation of mature oocytes and embryos is a proven fertility preservation approach, and cryopreservation of ovarian tissue is a promising technique with a growing number of live births, but remains investigational. Oocyte cryopreservation has been performed in children with Turner syndrome as young as 13 years of age and ovarian tissue cryopreservation in affected prepubertal children. However, current efficacy of these approaches is unknown in this cohort. For those who have already lost their ovarian reserve, oocyte or embryo donation and adoption are strategies that allow fulfillment of the desire for parenting. For those with Turner syndrome-related cardiac contraindications to pregnancy, use of gestational surrogacy allows the possibility of biological parenting using their own oocytes. Alternatively, gestational surrogacy can serve to carry pregnancy resulting from the use of donor oocytes or embryos, if needed.
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Introduction

Sixty-five years have passed since Ford et al1 reported the association of a 45,X cell line with the phenotypic findings that had been described 21 years earlier by Henry Turner. Turner syndrome (TS) is one of the most common chromosomal disorders, occurring in approximately 1 in 2500 newborn female infants. In normal circumstances a female infant is born with approximately two million oocytes within her ovaries, yet this is not the case in female infants with TS, who are born with markedly fewer. How do oocytes develop during embryonic life before birth, and how is this process altered in the absence of two intact X chromosomes? In the female fetus, progenitors of oocytes arise outside of the embryonic tissue that will become the ovary, migrate along the dorsal mesentery from the region of the junction of the allantois and yolk sac, populate the indifferent gonad, and undergo rapid amplification. Human primordial germ cells have been detected in the yolk sac wall from three to four weeks postconception, in the hind gut epithelium from week four, and in the genital area from week five. Evidence suggests that chemotactic molecules might guide these primordial germ cells to the gonad and adhesion molecules present on their surface appear to play a role in their migration. Newer estimates of the number of primordial follicles at birth have been provided by Mamsen et al, who studied 53 human ovaries obtained at the time of elective pregnancy termination. The number of germ cells increased from a mean of 7,200 at
seven weeks' gestation to 4,933,000 at 21 weeks' gestation, determined using stereological techniques with an optical dissector. This quantity then decreases to approximately 2,000,000 at birth and 400,000 at puberty. The mechanism of this loss appears to be primarily apoptosis.Embryos bearing a 45,X karyotype have a high incidence of in utero mortality and studies by Singh and Carr of 45,X fetuses revealed the presence of germ cells in the early genital ridges in normal numbers. However, by mid-gestation, their numbers were diminished significantly. A high incidence of follicle apoptosis, determined using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase deoxyuridine triphosphatase nick-end labeling assay, has been reported, compared with that of a normal karyotype. Modi et al studied 16 normal fetal ovaries and four from 45,X fetuses at 15–20 weeks' gestation. Semiquantitative measurements revealed 3–7% terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase deoxyuridine triphosphatase nick-end labeling-positive cells in normal ovaries versus 50%–70% in 45,X ovaries. These results are consistent with accelerated germ cell apoptosis in individuals with a 45, X karyotype.

There is considerable variability between individuals regarding the size of this pool of primordial follicles. This might, in part, because of variation between women during the process that leads to follicular atresia, but might also reflect the presence of sex chromosome aneuploidy at the level of the ovaries, that might not be apparent in a leukocyte karyotype. If the ovary is populated by two populations of oogonia, one containing two X chromosomes (46,XX) and one missing an X chromosome (45,X; ie, a mosaic karyotype), the number of oocytes at birth will be substantially greater than seen in ovaries of a single line of 45,X. The pool of primordial follicles in 46,XX/45,X mosaics might then be large enough for a young woman to undergo menarche and normal pubertal development. Invariably, however, these women will exhaust their supply of primordial follicles at a faster rate than age-comparable women with a normal 46,XX genotype, and are destined to undergo premature ovarian insufficiency (POI). Integritivity of the long arm of the X chromosome is required for maintaining fertility. Quilter et al analyzed the X chromosome using array comparative genomic hybridization, documenting copy number variants in 15 of 42 women with POI. The majority was found in Xq. Mercer et al studied 20 women who were missing a part of the long arm of the X chromosome (Xq) using array comparative genomic hybridization. Clinical features of TS were only seen in a minority of women in their study, and they commonly presented with abnormalities of menstruation and fertility. The larger terminal deletions were associated with a higher incidence of POI that occurred at younger ages. Recently, Hook and Warburton reanalyzed the data on the frequency of karyotypes at various stages of life associated with TS, and found evidence of a high incidence of cryptic mosaicism in 45,X individuals with TS. The method of ascertainment of the cases, as well as the varying sensitivity of the markers used and number of cells analyzed were important determinants in detecting cryptic sex chromosome mosaicism. The classic TS then appears to be one end of a spectrum of ovarian dysfunctions associated with deletions and duplications of regions of the X chromosome and occult mosaicism associated with loss of primordial germ cells leading to POI.

Although its physiologic role is not fully elucidated, anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) is the best endocrine marker of the size of the population of the dynamic reserve pool of small antral follicles within the ovaries. AMH is a member of the transforming growth factor-β family and is secreted by granulosa cells of primary and small antral follicles, but neither primordial nor larger-growing follicles. AMH concentrations in the small antral follicles of human ovaries have been found to be nearly three orders of magnitude higher than in the follicular fluid of preovulatory follicles. In female mice, evidence has shown that AMH functions as an inhibitor of primordial follicle recruitment.

Visser et al studied AMH levels in girls and adolescents with TS. They found detectable AMH levels in 21.9% of these girls, the results correlating with karyotypes. AMH was detected in 77% of girls with 45,X/46,XX karyotypes, but in only 10% of those with 45,X karyotypes. Hagen et al performed longitudinal studies of serum AMH levels in 926 healthy women and 172 with TS, and found evidence that AMH is a promising marker of ovarian function in healthy girls and TS patients. It is apparent that a subset of girls with TS possesses a small residual of ovarian follicles at birth or early childhood. These would be further lost in late childhood and early puberty, and most of these girls will exhibit a mosaic karyotype. The present challenge is to identify these girls as early in life as is possible, to allow them to benefit from a variety of existing fertility preservation options.

**Fertility Preservation Strategies**

Spontaneous conceptions are rarely described in women with TS and infertility attributed to lack of or exhausted ovarian reserve is the norm. The reproductive phenotype of TS is variable for a given genotype. Ovarian dysgenesis is commonly encountered in the setting of full 45,X genotype and use of donated oocytes or embryos can allow a chance for a successful conception. In contrast, varying degrees of ovarian function can be retained for varying time intervals in those with the 45,X/46,XX mosaic genotype, although POI is unfortunately inevitable. It is in this latter subgroup of young women with TS that salvage of existing viable oocytes with the application of assisted reproductive technologies is plausible. Herein, we review the currently available and experimental techniques to preserve fertility in females diagnosed with TS (Fig. 1).

**Oocyte Cryopreservation**

Although ovarian primordial follicle reserve might be depleted well before puberty in nearly all TS girls with nonmosaic karyotype, in mosaic cases and depending on the degree of mosaicism, ovarian reserve might persist for a variable period after menarche. However, because the reserve would be low and the depletion of this reserve would still occur at an accelerated rate, most might still not reach adulthood with sufficient ovarian reserve. It is
therefore highly important to preserve oocytes as early as possible after menarche in these girls.

Although ovarian stimulation followed by oocyte retrieval and cryopreservation have been reported in adults with TS,25 the utility of this technology for the peripubertal population had not been studied until recently. Oktay et al have reported on successful oocyte cryopreservation in postpubertal children with mosaic TS.26,27 In these reports, three girls aged 13-15 years with mosaic TS were identified to have decreased ovarian reserve according to serum AMH hormone measurements and antral follicle counts on ultrasound examinations. Ovarian stimulation was achieved with the use of recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and later during stimulation with luteinizing hormone (LH) supplementation in the form of human menopausal gonadotropins or recombinant LH, in an antagonist cycle. Because of the relative immaturity of the function of the hypothalamus-pituitary-ovarian axis, LH levels might be oversuppressed after the initiation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) antagonist use. For this reason Oktay and Bedoschi26 supplemented the stimulation with LH to achieve adequate steroidogenesis. Daily gonadotropin doses ranged from 225 to 300 IU. Ovarian response to stimulation was monitored using a combination of serial transabdominal ultrasound examinations and serum estradiol measurements during ovarian stimulation. Oocyte maturation was triggered either with recombinant human chorionic gonadotropin, highly purified human chorionic gonadotropin, or leuprolide acetate 34 hours before the retrieval. Oocyte retrievals were performed transvaginally with general anesthesia. On average, 7-19 oocytes were retrieved, of which 4-10 were mature and cryopreserved. In one case, two cycles of oocyte cryopreservation were performed in a 14-year-old girl one year apart; notably, mature oocyte yield decreased from 8 to 4 in the second cycle, possibly because of the rapid decline in ovarian reserve.27

These young girls, and their parents, were closely evaluated for physical and psychosocial suitability for the procedure before being accepted to the ovarian stimulation and oocyte freezing program. This included a physical examination, preoperative evaluation by the anesthesiologist, and counseling of parents and the child by the physician. Others have also reported cryopreservation of in vitro matured oocytes; immature oocytes were obtained during an ovarian cryopreservation procedure.28 As will be discussed later, this creates another opportunity for oocyte cryopreservation without ovarian stimulation, especially in girls who undergo ovarian tissue harvesting for cryopreservation. However, the pregnancy potential of in vitro-matured oocytes from harvested ovarian tissue has not been shown in women with or without TS, hence the potential benefit of this approach is unknown.

The first birth attributable to use of cryopreserved and thawed oocyte in humans was reported in 1986.29 Considered “experimental” for nearly three decades, refinements of the vitrification freezing protocols over the past decade have significantly advanced the field. Oocyte cryopreservation is now considered an established procedure in adults and is increasingly being offered to and used by reproductive age women before initiation of gonadotoxic therapy for the management of cancerous and noncancerous conditions.30,31 However, the success rates of oocyte cryopreservation in TS girls has not been shown. Depending on the
level of mosaicism, not all oocytes will be suitable for fertilization or will develop with a normal karyotype because a fraction will be missing the X chromosome. However, technologies such as preimplantation genetic screening have entered into routine use and embryos that originate from the stored oocytes can be screened for numerical chromosomal abnormalities. In addition, similar genetic assessment can be accomplished using polar body biopsy in oocytes. Because of these technological advances in oocyte and embryo screening, it is anticipated that at least a fraction of oocytes frozen from TS girls should lead to successful pregnancies.

However, despite the existing technology and potential for applicability and utilization of oocyte-freezing early in reproductive life as a fertility preserving strategy for TS patients, one cannot disregard possible oocyte aneuploidy and risk therein to the progeny. Experience related to quantitative ovarian response to exogenous gonadotropins is also limited, and data on qualitative aspects of oocytes retrieved in this population do not exist. Financial and emotional costs attributed to the possible freezing of genetically abnormal oocytes harvested from ovaries of women with TS cannot be trivialized and until the safety of autologous oocytes is established, oocyte cryopreservation by women with TS should be offered only with careful oversight and an understanding that resulting embryos should be offered pre-implantation genetic diagnosis to test for the genetic normalcy of the progeny. However, the latter might not always be feasible because of cost, religious or ethical issues.

Maternal oocyte donation and cryopreservation for subsequent use by a TS daughter is a plausible strategy for girls with evidence of POI. Such an approach, however, creates potential ethical concerns because the egg donor would be the biological parent of her grandchild. Sibling-to-sibling oocyte donation is a less tenuous and a more acceptable alternative. Careful psychosocial evaluation of all participants and of the family dynamics is warranted when a mother-child or sibling-sibling egg donation approach is being considered for preservation of procreative potential.

In summary, oocyte cryopreservation appears to be the most viable option for girls with TS who have experienced menarche and are endocrinologically and psychologically mature enough to undertake the processes involved. We recommend that all postmenarchal girls with TS be evaluated for ovarian reserve assessment and patients and families counseled regarding oocyte cryopreservation as a viable fertility preservation option.

Ovarian Tissue Cryopreservation

Ovarian tissue cryopreservation is a fertility preservation technique, which is still considered experimental in adults. The process involves surgical removal of a part or all of an ovary, typically undertaken laparoscopically in an outpatient setting; pieces of the outer layer (cortex) of the removed ovarian tissue (which harbors the primordial follicles that make up the ovarian reserve) are then cryopreserved for future use. Because ovarian reserve is already low in girls with TS, it is recommended to remove as much tissue as possible, typically an entire ovary. When the patient is ready to attempt fertility, the cryopreserved pieces of ovarian tissues are then thawed followed by autotransplantation back into the patient. A variety of anatomical sites have been tried for autotransplantation including the ovarian fossae in the pelvis (orthotopic) and under the skin of an easily accessible area such as the forearm. The first successful ovarian transplantation with cryopreserved and thawed tissue was reported in 2000 by Oktay and Karlikaya and resulted in restoration of hormonal function in a previously menopausal woman. The subsequent decade witnessed pregnancies resulting from these procedures with the current total of reported pregnancies standing at more than 40. It is important to appreciate that thus far, all of these pregnancies with the exception of a recent case report, resulted from use of ovarian tissue that was harvested from and subsequently transferred back to adult women who chose ovarian tissue cryopreservation as a fertility preserving strategy in the setting of medical diagnoses such as cancer or other medical conditions. Data on efficiency of this approach in girls or women with TS are lacking. Demeestere et al recently reported a live birth when ovarian tissue, cryopreserved at the patient’s age of 13 years, was autotransplanted during adulthood. The latter established that ovarian tissue will be functional regardless of the age that the tissue was cryopreserved. As such, the full biological development of ovaries are complete during the third trimester of pregnancy and potentially even a newborn ovary can produce follicles and ovulate when proper hormonal signals are received. A good clinical example of this is central precocious puberty in girls with ovulation that occurs at a very young age.

The foregoing information offers hope for girls with TS who cannot wait until menarche to undergo ovarian stimulation for the purpose of egg harvesting and subsequent cryopreservation. Because the ovarian reserve can be lost even during the very first few years of life in full TS cases, ovarian tissue cryopreservation might be the only, albeit experimental, option for this population. Although ovarian reserve evaluation might be difficult in children younger than the age of 5 years, a combination of serum FSH, inhibin-B, AMH, and antral follicle counts on ultrasound might provide useful information.

Hreinnsson et al reported that primordial follicles can be found in ovarian tissue collected for cryopreservation from mosaic and nonmosaic patients with TS in girls up to the age of 17 years. In a larger study, the same group later reported that ovarian biopsy was feasible in 47 of the 57 girls and that in 15 of the 57 cases (26%), there were follicles in the tissue pieces analyzed histologically. Furthermore, follicle yield varied according to genotype, being evident in 6 of 7 cases (86%) of 46,XX/45,X mosaicism, in 6 of 22 with structural chromosomal abnormalities (27%), and in 3 of 28 with 45,X karyotype (10.7%). Follicles were evident in biopsied ovarian tissue in 8 of 13 girls (62%) with spontaneous menarche, and 11 of 19 of those who exhibited signs of spontaneous puberty (58%). The 12- to 16-year-old age group had the highest proportion of girls with evidence of ovarian follicles on biopsied tissue. Normal FSH and AMH concentrations for age and pubertal stage were more frequent in girls with tissue evidence of follicles.
However, the presence of follicles on ovarian biopsy does not guarantee that ovarian cryopreservation will be successful, because the number of primordial follicles will be much reduced in tissues cryopreserved from girls with TS and the success of ovarian cryopreservation and transplantation will depend on the number of oocytes present in the transplanted tissue. Unless ovarian tissue is cryopreserved at very early ages, success of this technology for girls with TS might be limited.

In summary, ovarian tissue cryopreservation can be offered to girls with TS who are found to have adequate ovarian reserve but who cannot wait until sufficient maturity to undergo oocyte cryopreservation. The promise of this technology for girls with TS is at present hypothetical, because no girl with TS who has undertaken this approach thus far has returned for autotransplantation of the previously cryopreserved ovarian tissue. The probability of success of this approach is unknown and this option remains experimental at the current time.

**Embryo Cryopreservation**

The promise of procreative success attributable to the use of cryopreserved embryos far exceeds that offered by frozen oocytes. Indeed, in some centers, live birth rates after transfer of cryopreserved embryos are comparable with rates achieved using fresh embryo transfer cycles. Protocols and processes involved up to the step of oocyte collection are identical for oocyte and embryo freeze cycles. However, beyond the step of oocyte retrieval, an immediate access to sperm (either from a partner or a sperm donor) is a requisite, which thus limits applicability of an efficacious option for fertility preservation to the postpubertal girls and unpartnered young women diagnosed with TS. As with oocyte freezing, medical and ethical concerns regarding genetic normality of embryos resulting from fertilization of oocytes retrieved from TS patients remains. Thus, for patients with TS who have identified a sperm source, elective embryo cryopreservation as a fertility preservation strategy should be offered under oversight with available preimplantation genetic diagnosis of the embryos before transfer to test the genetic normalcy of the progeny.

**Practical Issues and Patient Counseling for Fertility Preservation in TS**

One of the key determinants of success in fertility preservation for girls with TS is early referral to a fertility specialist. Because of the rapid and accelerated decline in ovarian reserve unique to this population, these girls should be evaluated as early in postnatal life as possible. For the nonmosaic patients, in whom ovarian reserve can be depleted within the first few years of life, this referral should occur as soon as a diagnosis is made, even in infancy. For the mosaic patients, an expedient approach is also recommended but it might be possible to defer fertility preservation until postmenarchal ages.

Karyotypic diagnosis must be established as soon as possible. Although interpretability of ovarian reserve markers in the TS population, particularly in the prepubescent girls, can be challenging, ovarian reserve assessment should be undertaken using well validated biomarkers (FSH, LH, estradiol, inhibin-B, AMH, and the antral follicle count). Serum AMH norms have been established for children and adults, and these levels are reliable after the age of 5 years. In addition, ovarian volume and antral follicle assessment might be helpful in older children. Increased FSH levels in the presence of undetectable AMH levels, the absence of visible antral follicles, and evidence of streak ovaries indicate that ovarian failure has already occurred, which makes the probability of yield for oocyte or ovarian tissue cryopreservation highly unlikely.

**Recommendations**

Discussion of fertility preservation options is advised at the earliest for any girl with TS; this might be at the time of diagnosis, even when the diagnosis is arrived at during infancy or childhood. Serial assessment of ovarian reserve markers can be undertaken. In prepubertal girls with mosaic TS who have a low percentage of cells with 45,X karyotype relative to 46,XX and when AMH levels are not inappropriately low for age (levels in the lower quartile for age^{19}), one of the authors (K.O.) uses frequent (every 2-3 months) AMH monitoring to determine the time of intervention. When AMH levels begin to show a decline on two consecutive measurements, fertility preservation might be considered. This approach might enable girls with TS to further mature physically and psychosocially, and become more compliant with procedures related to oocyte cryopreservation instead of being limited to the currently experimental ovarian tissue cryopreservation option.

If the ovarian reserve assessment indicates an age-inappropriate decline in ovarian reserve in girls with mosaic TS, and regardless of ovarian reserve in the nonmosaic TS population, we recommend consideration of fertility preservation at the earliest age possible.

For those who are sexually and or psychosocially immature or unable to tolerate ovarian stimulation procedures, ovarian cryopreservation might be recommended under experimental, institutional review board-approved protocols. In addition to obtaining an institutional review board-approved consent from the parents, verbal assent for the procedure must additionally be obtained from children older than nine years of age. The consent form should adequately explain that currently the success of ovarian cryopreservation and transplantation cannot be quantified in girls with TS.

In postmenarchal girls, typically 13 years of age or older and developmentally mature enough to tolerate ovarian stimulation, oocyte cryopreservation should be offered. Although the procedure is no longer considered experimental in adults, a human subjects and institutional review board-approved consent is still strongly encouraged for this procedure to be undertaken in the pediatric population. At a minimum, parents and children should be provided with a detailed written consent form that explains the potential limitations including the lack of TS-specific success rates with this procedure. Embryo cryopreservation can be considered in similar circumstances for those rare women with TS who have reached adulthood with sufficient
Pregnancy in TS

Pregnancy with Autologous Oocytes

Between 2% and 5% of TS women are able to conceive spontaneously, although these pregnancies are more likely to occur in women with mosaic karyotypes, rather than full monosomy X. They are reported to have higher than normal rates of chromosomal abnormalities and rates of miscarriage as high as 50%. Congenital and chromosomal abnormalities have been identified in approximately 50% of babies born to women with TS and this is thought to be because of an inherited imbalance in genetic regulation. For this reason, cycling mosaic TS women might consider prenatal testing or even in vitro fertilization (IVF) with preimplantation genetic testing to avoid aneuploidy before embryo transfer. Additionally, girls and women with TS are at an increased risk for POI, which thus shortens the available time for natural conception for the affected population. Women who consider fertility should be offered referral to reproductive endocrinologists and/or infertility specialists for consultations about the family building options available to them that include use of donor oocytes or embryos and of IVF with autologous oocytes.

IVF and Pregnancy with Donor Oocytes

For most patients with TS, the preferred option for childbearing that offers the highest likelihood of success is pregnancy with donor oocytes. Despite the use of donor oocytes, miscarriage rates in resulting pregnancies among TS recipients are slightly higher compared with the general donor oocyte recipient population. Although the exact cause of this increase in miscarriage risk is unclear, and might reflect a surveillance bias, reduced uterine receptivity from prolonged hypoestrogenism and relatively hypoplastic uteri have been suggested as plausible mechanisms.

Pregnancy Risks and Screening in TS

Obstetrical and Maternal Cardiovascular Risks

Pregnancies in women with TS are more likely to be complicated by thyroid dysfunction, obesity, diabetes, and hypertensive disorders, including pre-eclampsia (up to 40%). Low birth weight, intrauterine growth restriction, preterm labor, and preterm delivery are also more likely in pregnancies in women with TS. The well known cardiovascular strains of pregnancy, including a 50% greater cardiac output, can significantly exacerbate underlying abnormalities such as congenital heart disease, mostly left-sided disorders, which is recognized in up to 50% of women with TS. In addition to the pre-existing cardiac anomalies, women with TS have connective tissue defects, which predispose them to aortic root dissection, a catastrophic complication that is well recognized to complicate pregnancies in women with TS and carries a higher mortality rate. Overall, maternal mortality in TS women has been reported to be as high as 1%-2%, which is 100-200 times greater than in the general population.

Preconception cardiac evaluation to include measurement of the aortic size index (in which aortic size dimensions are adjusted for body surface area) is strongly recommended for any woman with TS who is contemplating pregnancy; an aortic size index greater than 2 cm/m² is considered a contraindication for pregnancy because of the well-recognized higher risk of maternal mortality secondary to aortic dissection in this population. Aortic dissections might also occur postpartum (20%), and hence close surveillance should continue after delivery.

Beyond the risk of cardiovascular detriment, pregnancy in women with TS poses additional challenges. Renal anomalies are present in up to 30%-40% of women with TS with predisposition to development of hydronephrosis or obstructive nephropathy in pregnancy. Hypothyroidism, diabetes, and celiac disease—all more frequently encountered in women with TS compared with genetically normal age-comparable women—might first manifest or worsen during pregnancy.

In conclusion, the occurrence of pregnancy in women with TS poses unique challenges with attending risks that include maternal death. Careful prepregnancy planning is strongly endorsed. Prepregnancy management should include cardiac evaluation and prepregnancy counseling by a multidisciplinary team of specialists that includes cardiologists, infertility specialists, genetic counselors, and experts in maternal-fetal medicine. With careful intra- and postpregnancy vigilance and monitoring under the care of a dedicated multidisciplinary team, the risks of maternal morbidity and mortality can be mitigated and render the attainment of a healthy pregnancy a real possibility for women with TS.

Gestational Surrogacy for Women with TS

Gestational surrogacy (GS) entails the planned pregnancy of a woman carried on behalf of another woman. Because of the known potential cardiac and medical complications of TS, GS is a reasonable and advisable alternative to pregnancy in countries and in states in the United States that legally allow it. GS might also provide an opportunity for women with TS to be biological parents of their own children. Even patients with a normal cardiac evaluation might be at risk for cardiovascular complications that arise during the third trimester of pregnancy or postpartum. Therefore, the American Society of Reproductive Medicine recommends that all patients with TS should be counseled about GS and adoption as alternatives to pregnancy. Gametes can be autologous or donated, especially in the case of POI.

In the United States, GS using fresh nondonor embryos comprised approximately 1% of all assisted reproductive technology (ART) cycles in 2012. The American Society of Reproductive Medicine recommends GS for indications including those of medical conditions that either prevent a woman from carrying a pregnancy or those that impart significant risk of morbidity or mortality to the woman or fetus. In women with TS, indications include major
Adoption as a Family Building Option for Women with TS

In all cases it is advised that the indications be well documented in the patient’s medical record. Recommendations for specific medical tests have also been published and psychosocial evaluation and education are advised for the genetic parents and gestational surrogate. Both genetic parents and gestational surrogates must be of legal age and medically screened for presence or risk of infectious diseases and carcinoma. Gestational surrogates should also be counseled about the risks of pregnancy.

Although limited data are available for pregnancy and birth rates for women with TS, published data for pregnancy outcomes of GS (whether autologous or donor oocytes or embryos) are largely lacking. Thus, studies that address pregnancy outcomes in this specific patient population are needed so that women with TS will be better informed about their reproductive options.

Adoption as a Family Building Option for Women with TS

In 2000, there were a total of 2,058,915 adopted children living in the United States, corresponding to 2.5% of all children. There are three kinds of domestic adoption in the United States: state-licensed public agency adoptions, private agency adoptions, and independent adoptions, which involve the direct placement of a child through a mediating agency or attorney. Adoption agencies provide connections between children in need of a home, pregnant women who wish to place a child with an adoptive family, and prospective adoptive parents. Agencies typically assess the parental capabilities of prospective adoptive families and assist in the legal process of the adoption including applications to the court for legal adoptions. All adoption agencies have requirements that mandate that prospective parents meet certain criteria to be eligible to adopt a child. The initial step in the eligibility process is typically a home study, and most states require additional background checks and probationary placement periods along with age and health requirements thereafter. Just as adoption agency structures are varied, preferences, policies, and requirements regarding prospective parents differ considerably across agency lines. Although some prospective families have had difficulties with adoption because of health requirements (eg, cancer survivors), there are no documented cases of a woman with TS being restricted from adoption.

International adoptions might be available to individuals who pursue adoption in the United States, however, recent restrictions and regulatory issues have caused a sharp decline in international adoptions in the United States. At its peak in 2004, there were 23,000 children from other countries adopted by US families. The most recent figures for international adoption in 2012 show a little more than 10,000 adoptions. The top five countries from which children were adopted in 2012 include China, Ukraine, Ethiopia, Russia, and South Korea.

For women with TS who desire to be parents, adoption is a viable option and one that has been pursued by many women with TS. The need to weigh multiple factors such as the low rates of spontaneous pregnancy, and potential health risks of pregnancy to the mother and genetic outcomes of the fetus coupled with highly personal desires such as the wish to carry a pregnancy and/or the want for a biological child and future parenting goals can result in complex decisions. Such decisions are best made with input from a personal physician, a reproductive endocrinologist, a partner, and family.

Summary and Conclusions

Women with TS are at extremely high risk for POI and infertility. There are existing techniques, albeit some still in the experimental realm, that can offer preservation of fertility potential for some girls with TS, provided timely consideration is entertained. To maximize the benefits of fertility preservation, all girls with TS should be evaluated by an expert as soon as possible in childhood because most will have their ovarian reserve depleted before adulthood. For those who have already lost their ovarian reserve, donor oocytes, donated embryos, and adoption are strategies that can allow fulfillment of desire for parenting. For those with TS-related cardiac contraindications to pregnancy, the utility of GS can allow the possibility of biological parenting through use of their own oocytes; alternatively, GS can serve to carry pregnancy resulting from the use of donor oocytes or embryos.
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